RESEARCH ARTICLE

Impact of Distillery Spent Wash Irrigation on Sprouting, Growth and Yield of Dahlia (Asteraceae) Flowering Plant

S. CHANDRAJU^{*a}, C. THEJOVATHI^b and C. S. CHIDAN KUMAR^b

^aDepartment of Studies in Sugar Technology, Sir M. Vishweswaraya Postgraduate Center, University of Mysore, Tubinakere, Mandya -571402, Karnataka, India

^bDepartment of Chemistry, Alva's Institute of Engineering and Technology, Mijar, Moodbidri-574225, South Canara Dt. Karnataka, India

chandraju@yahoo.com

Received 29 August 2012 / Accepted 19 September 2012

Abstract: Germination, growth and yield of Dahlia (*Asteraceae*) flowering plant was made by irrigated with distillery spent wash of different concentrations. Diluted spent wash (1:1, 1:2 and 1:3) were analyzed for plant nutrients such as nitrogen, phosphorous, potassium and other physical and chemical characteristics. Experimental soil was tested for its chemical and physical parameters. Dahlia seeds were sowed in different pots and irrigated with raw water (RW), 1:1, 1:2 and 1:3 spent wash (SW). The nature of germination, growth and yield of seeds was investigated. It was found that, the germination, growth and yield was very good (100%) in 1:3 SW irrigation, while very poor (25%) in 1:1 SW, moderate (80%) in 1:2 SW and 95% in RW irrigation. This concludes that the diluted spent wash can be conveniently used for irrigation purpose without adverse effect on soil.

Keywords: Distillery spent wash, Dahlia seeds, Irrigation

Introduction

Dahlia (*Asteraceae*) is a genus of bushy, tuberous, herbaceous perennial plants native to Mexico, Central America and Colombia. A member of the *Asteraceae* or Compositae, dicotyledonous plants related species include the sunflower, daisy, chrysanthemum and zinnia. If ever there was a flower that knew the meaning of variety, it is the Dahlia¹. While its colors are limited to the warm ranges of red, orange, yellow, pink and white, its flowers come in a dizzying array of shapes and sizes. Each vacation of the Dahlia petals is a beauty. There petals can be spiky, wispy, round thin or a half dozen other variations. Even the color ranges from one solid color to a painted mixture of two or three colors. Dahlias are commonly grown as an annual but they can be grown as a perennial if tubers are dug up

shortly after the first frost. There are at least 36 species of dahlia, with hybrids commonly grown as garden plants. Flower forms are variable, with one head per stem; these can be as small as in (5.1 cm) in diameter or up to 1 ft (30 cm) ("dinner plate"). This great variety results from dahlias being octoploids that is they have eight set of homologous chromosomes, whereas most plant have only two in addition, it is also contain many transposes genetic pieces that move from place to place upon an allele which contributes to their manifesting such great diversity². The stems are leafy, ranging in height from as low as 12 in 30 cm to more than 6-8 ft (1.8-2.4 m). The majority of species do not produce scented flowers or cultivars. Like most plants that do not pollinating insects through scent, they are brightly colored displaying most hues, with the exception of blue. The dahlia was declared the national flower of Mexico in 1963. In Europe and America, prior to the discovery of insulin in 1923, diabetics as well as consumptives, were often given a substance called Atlantic starch or diabetic sugar, derived from inulin, a naturally occurring from of fruit sugar, extracted from dahlia tubers. Inulin is still used in clinical tests for kidney functionality.

Flowers are widely used throughout the globe for various purposes such as perfumes, beauty and hygiene products, food and drink, medicines and also used as repelling wildlife and hence floriculture is become commercially popular.

Ethanol is produced by the fermentation of molasses in distilleries. Now a day's demand of ethanol's increasing due to its usage as fuel blended with petrol and diesel. As a result more numbers of distilleries are coming out. About 08 (eight) liters of wastewater is generated for every liter of ethanol production in distilleries, known as raw spent wash (RSW), which is known for high biological oxygen demand (BOD: 5000-8000 mg/L) and chemical oxygen demand (COD: 25000-30000 mg/L), undesirable color and foul odor³. Discharge of RSW into open field or nearby water bodies' results in environmental, water and soil pollution including threat to plant and animal lives. The RSW is highly acidic and contains easily oxidisable organic matter with very high BOD and COD⁴. Also, spent wash contains high organic nitrogen and nutrients⁵. By installing biomethenation plant in distilleries, reduces the oxygen demand of RSW, the resulting spent wash is called primary treated spent wash (PTSW) and primary treatment to RSW increases the nitrogen (N), potassium (K) and phosphorous (P) contents and decreases calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), sodium (Na), chloride (Cl⁻) and sulphate $(SO_4^{2-})^6$. PTSW is rich in potassium (K), sulphur (S), nitrogen (N), phosphorous (P) as well as easily biodegradable organic matter and its application to soil has been reported to increase yield of sugar cane rice⁷, wheat and rice. Quality of groundnut⁸ and physiological response of soybean⁹. Diluted spent wash could be used for irrigation purpose without adversely affecting soil fertility¹⁰, seed germination and crop productivity¹¹. The diluted spent wash irrigation improved the physical and chemical properties¹² of the soil¹³ and further increased soil micro flora¹⁴. Twelve pre-sowing irrigations with the diluted spent wash had no adverse effect on the germination of maize but improved the growth¹⁵ and yield. Diluted spent wash increases the growth of shoot length, leaf number per plant, leaf area and chlorophyll content of peas¹⁶. Increased concentration¹⁷ of spent wash causes decreased seed germination, seedling growth and chlorophyll content in Sunflowers (Helianthus annuus) and the spent wash could safely used for irrigation purpose at lower concentration. The spent wash contained an excess of various forms of cations and anions, which are injurious to plant growth and these constituents should be reduced to beneficial level by diluting spent wash, which can be used as a substitute for chemical fertilizer¹⁸. The spent wash could be used as a complement to mineral

fertilizer to sugarcane¹⁹. The spent wash contained N, P, K, Ca, Mg and S and thus valued as a fertilizer when applied to soil through irrigation with water²⁰. The application of diluted spent wash increased the uptake of Zinc(Zn), Copper(Cu), Iron(Fe) and Manganese (Mn) in maize and wheat as compared to control and the highest total uptake of these were found at lower dilution levels than at higher dilution levels. Mineralization of organic material as well as nutrients present in the spent wash was responsible for increased availability of plant nutrients. Diluted spent wash increase the uptake of nutrients, height, growth and yield of leaves vegetables²¹ nutrients of cabbage and mint leaf²², nutrients of top vegetables²³, pulses, condiments, root vegetables, of some root vegetables in untreated and spent wash treated soil, yields of top vegetables (creepers) yields of tuber/root medicinal plants yields of leafy medicinal plants. And also increases the germination growth and yields of flowering plants.

However, no information is available on the studies of distillery spent wash irrigation on the germination, growth and yield of Dahlia flowering plant. Therefore, the present investigation was carried out to study the influence of different concentration of spent wash on the germination, growth and yield of Dahlia seeds.

Experimental

Physicochemical parameters²⁴ and amount of nitrogen $(N)^{25}$, potassium $(K)^{26}$, phosphorous $(P)^{27}$ and sulphur $(S)^{28}$ present in the primary treated diluted spent wash (1:1, 1:2 and 1:3 SW) were analyzed by standard methods²⁹. The PTSW was used for irrigation with a dilution of 1:1, 1:2 and1:3. A composite soil sample collected prior to spent wash irrigation was air-dried, powdered and analyzed for physicochemical properties³⁰. Flowering³¹ plants³² selected for the present investigation were Dahlia. The seeds were sowed in different pots 50cm(h), 30 cm (dia) and irrigated with raw water (RW), 1:1 SW, 1:2 SW and 1:3 SW at the dosage of twice a week and rest of the period with raw water as required (by applying 5-10 mm/cm² depends upon the climatic condition)³³. Cultivation was conducted in triplicate; in each case germination, growth and yield were recorded.

Results and Discussion

Chemical composition of PTSW, 1:1, 1:2 and 1:3 SW such as pH, electrical conductivity, total solids (TS), total dissolved solids (TDS), total suspended solids (TSS), settelable solids (SS), chemical oxygen demand (COD), biological oxygen demand (BOD), carbonates, bicarbonates, total phosphorous (P), total potassium (K), ammonical nitrogen (N), calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), sulphur (S), sodium (Na), chlorides (Cl), iron (Fe), manganese (Mn), zinc (Zn), copper (Cu), cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb), chromium (Cr) and nickel (Ni) were analyzed Table 1. Amount of N, P, K and S contents are presented in Table 2. Characteristics of experimental soils such as pH, electrical conductivity, the amount of organic carbon, available nitrogen (N), phosphorous (P), potassium (K), sulphur (S), exchangeable calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), sodium (Na), DTPA iron (Fe), manganese (Mn), copper (Cu) and zinc (Zn) were analyzed and tabulated Table 3. It was found that the soil composition is fit for the cultivation of plants, because it fulfils all the requirements for the growth and yields of plants. It was found that the germination, growth and yield of plant was very good (100%) in 1:3 SW irrigation, while very poor (25%) in 1:1 SW, moderate (80%) in 1:2 SW and 95% in RW irrigation growth.

Chemical parameters PTSW 1:1 PTSW 1:2 PTSW 1:3 PTSW						
pH	7.57	7.63	7.65	7.66		
Electrical conductivity ^a	26400	17260	7620	5330		
Total solids ^b	47200	27230	21930	15625		
Total dissolved solids ^b	37100	18000	12080	64520		
Total suspended solids ^b	10240	5380	4080	1250		
Settleable solids ^b	9880	4150	2820	3240		
COD ^b	41250	19036	10948	2140		
BOD ^b	16100	7718	4700	2430		
Carbonate ^b	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil		
Bicarbonate ^b	12200	6500	3300	1250		
Total Phosphorous ^b	40.5	22.44	17.03	12.50		
Total Potassium ^b	7500	4000	2700	1620		
Calcium ^b	900	+000 590	370	190		
Magnesium ^b	1244.16	476.16	134.22	85		
Sulphur ^b	70	30.2	134.22	8.4		
Sodium ^b	520	300	280	0.4 140		
Chlorides ^b	6204	3512	3404	2960		
Iron ^b	7.5	4.7	3.5	2900		
Manganese ^b	980	4.7	288	160		
Zinc ^b	1.5	0.94	0.63	0.56		
Copper ^b	0.25	0.94	0.03	0.026		
Cadmium ^b	0.25	0.108	0.048	0.020		
Lead ^b	0.005	0.003	0.002	0.001		
Chromium ^b	0.16	0.09	0.08	0.003		
Nickel ^b						
	0.09	0.045	0.025	0.012		
Ammonical Nitrogen ^b	750.8	352.36	283.76	178		
Carbohydrates ^c	22.80	11.56	8.12	6.20		

Table 1. Chemical characteristics of distillery spent wash

Units: $a - \mu S$, b - mg/L, c- %, PTSW - Primary treated distillery spent wash

Table 2. Amount of N, P, K and S (Nutrients) in distillery spent wash						
Chemical parameters	PTSW	1:1 PTSW	1:2 PT SW	1:3 PTSW		
Ammonical Nitrogen ^b	750.8	352.36	283.76	160.5		
Total Phosphorous ^b	40.5	22.44	17.03	11.2		
Total Potassium ^b	7500	4000	2700	1800		
Sulphur ^b	70	30.2	17.8	8.6		

Unit: b – mg/L, PTSW - Primary treated distillery spent wash

Parameters	Values
Coarse sand ^c	9.24
Fine sand ^c	40.14
Slit ^c	25.64
Clay ^c	20.60
pH (1:2 soln)	8.12
Electrical conductivity ^a	530
Organic Carbon ^c	1.64
Available Nitrogen ^b	412
Available Phosphorous ^b	210
Available Potassium ^b	110
Exchangeable Calcium ^b	180
Exchangeable Magnesium ^b	272
Exchangeable Sodium ^b	113
Available Sulphur ^b	330
DTPA Iron ^b	204
DTPA Manganese ^b	206
DTPA Copper ^b	10
DTPA Zinc ^b	55

Table 3. Characteristics of experimental soil

Units: $a - \mu S$, b - mg/L, c- %

Dahlia plants in different irrigations

1:3 SW Germination, growth and yields of Dahlia (*Asteraceae*) flowering plants were very good in both 1:2 and 1:3 spent wash as compared to 1:1 SW and raw water. Table 5, this could be due to more absorption of plant nutrients (NPK) present in spent wash by plants at higher dilutions. It was also found that no negative impact of heavy metals like lead, cadmium and nickel on the leaves of Dahlia plants. The soil was tested after the harvest; found that there was no adverse effect on soil characteristics Table 4. In 1:1 SW germination, growth and yields was very poor, the plants dies after some days. This may be due to the high concentration of SW. It is found that the germination, growth and yields of both plants were largely influenced in case of 1:2 and 1:3 SW irrigations than with 1:1 SW and raw water. But 1:3 SW irrigation shows more uptakes of nutrients when compared to 1:2 SW in both plants Table 6. This could be due to the maximum absorption of nutrients by plants at more diluted spent wash. After harvest, soil has tested; found that there was no adverse effect on characteristics. Hence the spent wash can be conveniently used for irrigation purpose with required dilution without affecting environment soil.



RW1:1 SW1:2 SW1:3 SWFigure 1. Dahlia plants in different irrigations (RW- Raw water, SW- Spent wash)

Parameters	Values
Coarse sand ^c	9.69
Fine sand ^c	41.13
Slit ^c	25.95
Clay ^c	24.26
pH (1:2 soln)	8.27
Electrical conductivity ^a	544
Organic Carbon ^c	1.98
Available Nitrogen ^b	434
Available Phosphorous ^b	218
Available Potassium ^b	125
Exchangeable Calcium ^b	185
Exchangeable Magnesium ^b	276
Exchangeable Sodium ^b	115
Available Sulphur ^b	337
DTPA Iron ^b	212
DTPA Manganese ^b	210
DTPA Copper ^b	12
DTPA Zinc ^b	60

Table 4. Characteristics of experimental soil (After harvest)

Units: $a - \mu S$, b - mg/L

Table 5. Growth of Dahlia at different irrigations (cm)

RW	1:1 SW	1:2 SW	1:3 SW
$15^{\text{th}} 22^{\text{nd}} 29^{\text{th}}$	$15^{\text{th}} 22^{\text{nd}} 29^{\text{th}}$	$15^{\text{th}} 22^{\text{nd}} 29^{\text{th}}$	$15^{\text{th}} 22^{\text{nd}} 29^{\text{th}}$
(Day)	(Day)	(Day)	(Day)
05, 15, 20	01, 03, 03	07, 12, 19	09, 18, 29

Table 6. Yields of Dahlia Flowers at different irrigations. (Average number is taken from the five plants)

R	RW 1:1 SW		1:2SW		1:3 SW		
No. of	Size of	No. of	Size of	No. of	Size of	No. of	Size of
Flowers	Flowers	Flowers	Flower	Flowers	Flowers	Flowers	Flowers
	cm		cm		cm		cm
4	25			6	27	8	28

Conclusion

It was found that the nutrients uptake in the sprouting, growth and yield of Dahlia plants were largely influenced in case of both 1:1, 1:2 and 1:3 SW irrigation than with raw water. In 1:1 spent wash irrigation seeds are not germinated (100%), this could be due to the formation of thick layer of spent wash on the surface of the soil, which makes the mask on the seeds and hence 1:1 irrigation was not germinated. But 1:3 distillery spent wash shows more uptakes of N, P and K when compared to 1:2 SW. After harvest, soil shows no adverse effect on its characteristics. Hence the spent wash can be conveniently used for irrigation with required dilution without affecting environment and soil.

Acknowledgement

Authors are grateful to The General Manager, Nijaveedu Sugars Limited. Koppa, Maddur Tq., Karnataka, for providing spent wash.

References

- 1. Sunset Western Garden Book, 1995, 606.
- 2. Nation's Restaurant News Hibiscus blossoms as a food drink ingredient, Sunset Western Garden Book, 1995, 606-607.
- 3. Joshi H C, Kalra N, Chaudhary A and Deb D L, *Asia Pac J Environ Develop.*, 1994, **1(2)**, 92-103.
- 4. Patil J D, Arabatti S V and Hapse D G, A review of some aspects of distillery spentwash (vinase) utilization in sugar cane, Bartiya sugar May, 1987, 9-15.
- 5. Ramadurai R and Gerard E J, SISSTA, Sugar J., 1994, **20**, 129-131.
- 6. Mohamed Haroon A R and Subash Chandra Bose M, Use of distillery spentwash for alkali soil reclamation, treated distillery effluent for fertile irrigation of Crops, Indian Farm, March, 2004, 48.
- 7. Pathak H, Joshi H C, Chaudhary A, Chaudhary R, Kalra N and Dwivedi M K, J Indian Soc Soil Sci., 1998, 46, 155-157.
- 8. Amar B S, Ashisk B and Sivakoti R, J Plant Nutri Soil Sci., 2003, 166, 345-347.
- 9. Ramana S, Biswas A K, Kundu S, Saha J K and Yadava R B R, *Plant Soil Res.*, 2000, **2**, 1-6.
- 10. Kaushik K, Nisha R, Jagjeeta K and Kaushik C P, *Bio Resour Technol.*, 2005, **96(17)**, 1860.
- 11. Kuntal M H, Ashis K, Biswas A K and Misra K, Nutrition Soil Sci., 2004, 167(5), 584-590.
- 12. Raverkar K P, Ramana S, Singh A B, Biswas A K and Kundu S, Ann Plant Res., 2000, 2(2), 161-168.
- 13. Ramana S, Biswas A K, Kundu S, Saha J K and Yadava R B R, *Bio-Resour Technol.*, 2001, 82(3), 273-275.
- 14. Devarajan L, Rajanna G, Ramanathan and Oblisami G, Performance of Field Crops Under Distillery Effluent Irrigations, Kisan World, 1994, **21**, 48-50.
- 15. Singh Y and Raj Bahadur, Indian J Agri Sci., 1998, 68(2), 70-74.
- 16. Rani R and Sri Vastava M M, Int J Ecology Environ Sci., 1990, 16, 125-132.
- 17. Rajendran K, Indian Botanical Contactor, 1990, 7, 139-144.
- 18. Sahai R, Jabeen S and Saxena P K, *Indian J Ecol.*, 1983, 10(1), 7-10.
- 19. Chares S, Vinasse in the fertilization of sugarcane. Sugarcane, 1985, 1, 20.
- 20. Samuel G, The use of alcohol distillery waste as a fertilizer, Proceedings of International American Sugarcane Seminar, 1986, 245-252.
- 21. Chandraju S and Basavaraju H C, Sugar J (SISSTA), 2007, 20.
- 22. Chandaraju S, Siddappa and Chidan Kumar C S, *Bio-Res Bull.*, 2007, 5, 1-10.
- 23. Chandraju S, Siddappa and Chidan Kumar C S, *Current Botany*, 2011, 2(3), 38-42.
- 24 Manivasakam N, Physiochemical examination of water, sewage and Industrial effluent. Pragathi Prakashan, Merut., 1987.
- 25. Piper C S, Soil and Plant Analysis, Han's Publication, Bombay, 1966.
- 26. Jackson M L, Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, 1973, 38-82.
- 27. Walkley A J and Black C A, *Soil Sci.*, 1934, **37**(1), 29-38.
- 28. Subbiah B V and Asija G L, Cur Sci., 1956, 25, 259.
- 29. Black C A, Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2, Agronomy monograph No. 9. Am. Soc. Agron, Madison, Wisconsin, USA, pp.1965, 15.
- 30. Lindsay W L and Norve W A, Soil Sci Soc Am J., 1978, 42, 421-428.
- 31. Chandraju S, Thejovathi C and Chidan Kumar C S, *Plant Biology*, 2011, **1**(2), 6-13.
- 32. Chandraju S, Thejovathi C and Chidan Kumar C S, SISSTA, 2011, 15.
- 33. Chandraju S, Thejovathi C and Chidan Kumar C S, J Chem Pharm Res., 2011, 3(5), 376.