

Comparative Study of Acoustical and Transport Properties of Dimethyl Sulfoxide and Acetonitrile

UPASNA MAGOTRA, SANDARVE and MEENA SHARMA*

Department of Chemistry, University of Jammu, Jammu 180 006, India
mlakhanpal89@rediffmail.com

Received 3 June 2013 / Accepted 10 July 2013

Abstract: Ultrasonic velocity, density and viscosity of different concentrations of aqueous solutions of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and acetonitrile (ACN) have been studied at 298.15 K. From the experimental data various acoustical parameters such as Free volume V_f , Relaxation time τ , Gibb's free energy ΔG , Absorption coefficient α/f^2 have been evaluated. Also hydrodynamic flow studies of different concentrations of experimental solvents through commercially available Acroshield™ H 69008 composite cellophane membrane are described and used to evaluate transport properties such as permeability coefficient L_p and frictional coefficient F_{wm} . The acoustical parameters of different concentrations of aqueous solutions of DMSO and ACN were correlated with their transport properties. The results are discussed in the light of intermolecular interactions occurring in the solutions and also in terms of interactions between membrane and solution.

Keywords: Ultrasonic velocity, Free volume, Absorption coefficient, Acroshield™ H 69008 composite cellophane membrane, Permeability coefficient and Frictional coefficient

Introduction

The study of intermolecular interaction plays an important role in the development of molecular sciences. A large number of studies have been made on the molecular interaction in liquid systems by various physical methods like Infrared, Raman effect, Nuclear Magnetic resonance, Dielectric constant, ultra violet and ultrasonic method. In recent years ultrasonic technique has become a powerful tool in providing information regarding the molecular behaviour of liquids and solids owing to its ability of characterizing physiochemical behaviour of the medium¹. On the other hand study of transport properties across membrane also provides information regarding molecular interactions as well as interactions of solute and solvent with the membrane. The survey of literature shows that the data on transport properties and acoustic properties are being increasingly studied for developing the correlation between the two²⁻⁸. Many attempts are being made to formulate a relationship between these properties. It has been widely accepted that the gas diffusion

through a polymer can be related to free volume through the Doolittle relation $D = A \exp(-B/f)$, where 'f' is the free volume, A and B are constants. The practical utility of the new relation is that it can be used as an efficient tool for predicting transport properties in a wide range of polymers. The present investigation deals with the study of molecular interactions in aqueous solutions of DMSO and acetonitrile (ACN) at 298.15 K with the help of acoustical as well as transport properties. The water + organic type solvents are frequently used as chemical and biochemical reaction media⁹. Hence the studied systems had industrial utility.

Experimental

DMSO (AR grade) was used as such. ACN (AR grade) after keeping over anhydrous calcium oxide for about 48 hours was shaken with phosphorus pentoxide and was distilled. Ordinary water distilled thrice over alkaline KMnO_4 and acidic $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ in all glass apparatus was used for preparing the solutions. The specific conductance of this water was $1.30 \times 10^{-4} \text{ S m}^{-1}$.

The ultrasonic velocity, 'U' of solutions was measured by determining the wavelength of sound with the help of multi frequency ultrasonic interferometer (M-82S, Mittal Enterprises, India) at 6 MHz. The temperature of water surrounding the measuring cell was controlled and accuracy in the velocity measurement was +0.05%. 20 mL specific gravity bottle was used to measure density, 'ρ' which was calibrated using triply distilled water. The specific gravity bottle containing the experimental solutions was immersed in a constant temperature bath controlled with in +0.05 K. The weighing was performed in an analytical balance (Mettler Toledo) having an accuracy of $1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ g}$. The viscosity, 'η' was measured with Ostwald type viscometer which was precalibrated using triply distilled water. The ultrasonic velocity, density and viscosity measurements were measured at least thrice for each sample and found to be repeatable within the precision limits. The AcroshieldTM H 69008 composite cellophane membrane was thoroughly cleaned with distilled water, dried and weighed. The membrane was kept in the solutions of different concentrations of the two experimental liquids for 48 h. After 48 hours, membrane was again thoroughly cleaned with distilled water, dried and weighed. The membrane was found to be stable over entire range of DMSO concentration but it disintegrated above 40% concentration of ACN. Therefore, low concentrations for preparation of solutions were chosen. The apparatus and procedure used in the present investigation for evaluating transport properties is the same as described elsewhere¹⁰.

Results and Discussion

The ultrasonic velocity measurement is extensively used to study the physicochemical behavior of liquids. With the help of measurement of density and viscosity, various acoustical parameters like free volume, relaxation time, Gibb's free energy and absorption coefficient were calculated by using the following expressions-

$$\text{Free volume} \quad V_f = [M_{\text{eff}} u / Kn]^{3/2}$$

Where M_{eff} is the effective molecular weight ($M_{\text{eff}} = \sum m_i x_i$, in which m_i and x_i are the molecular weight and the mole fraction of the individual constituents respectively). K is a temperature independent, constant which is equal to 4.28×10^9 for all liquids.

$$\text{Relaxation time} \quad \tau = \frac{4}{3} \beta_{\text{ad}} \eta$$

Where β_{ad} denotes adiabatic compressibility and η denotes viscosity.

Gibb's free energy $\Delta G = kT \ln(KT \tau / h)$ where k is the Boltzmann constant ($1.38 \times 10^{-23} \text{ JK}^{-1}$), T is absolute temperature, h is Planck's constant ($6.62 \times 10^{-34} \text{ Js}$) and τ is the relaxation time.

Absorption coefficient $\alpha / f^2 = 4\pi^2 \tau / 2u$

For evaluation of transport properties, following equations were used-Hydrodynamic permeability $Jv = \pi r^2 x / \pi R^2 T$ where 'x' is the distance moved in the capillary of the apparatus in time 't', 'r' is the radius of the capillary and 'R' is the radius of the membrane.

Permeability coefficient $L_p = Jv / \Delta P$ where ΔP is the applied pressure difference. L_p has the character of mobility and represents the velocity of the fluid per unit pressure difference for the unit cross-sectional area of membrane.

Frictional coefficient $F_{wm} = \Phi_w V_w / L_p \delta$ where ' Φ_w ' is the water content of the membrane and is expressed as the volume fraction of the total membrane volume, ' Φ_w ' is numerically equal to the fraction of membrane surface available for the permeation of the solution. It was determined by the method described by Ginzberg and Katchalsky¹¹ and the value obtained was 0.4417391 in the case of AcroshieldTM H 69008 composite cellophane membrane, δ is the thickness of the membrane and its value in the given case is $0.42 \times 10^{-2} \text{ m}$, V_w is the molar volume of water.

Table 1. Ultrasonic velocity, density and viscosity of aqueous solution of DMSO and ACN at 298.15K

Conc.	U, ms ⁻¹		$\rho \times 10^{-3}, \text{ kg}^{-3}$		$\eta \times 10^3, \text{ Nm}^{-2}\text{s}$	
	DMSO	ACN	DMSO	ACN	DMSO	ACN
10%	1550.1818	1542.5454	1.0112	0.9839	0.9192	0.8052
12.5%	1561.6363	1544.1817	1.0142	0.9803	0.9762	0.8195
15%	1573.0909	1545.8181	1.0171	0.9767	1.0332	0.8338
17.5%	1584.5454	1547.4545	1.0214	0.9721	1.1111	0.8478
20%	1596.0000	1549.0909	1.0255	0.9674	1.1890	0.8617
22.5%	1608.0000	1544.7273	1.0297	0.9638	1.2354	0.7778
25%	1620.0000	1540.3636	1.0338	0.9602	1.2818	0.6938
27.5%	1632.5454	1533.2727	1.0381	0.9562	1.3483	0.6746
30%	1645.0909	1526.1818	1.0422	0.9522	1.4147	0.6554

Table 2. Acoustical properties of aqueous solution of DMSO and ACN at 298.15K

Conc.	$V_f \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$		$\tau \times 10^{13} \text{ (s)}$		$\Delta G \times 10^{22} \text{ J mol}^{-1}$		$\alpha / f^2 \times 10^{15} \text{ ms}^2$	
	DMSO	ACN	DMSO	CAN	DMSO	ACN	DMSO	ACN
10%	2.1547	2.4513	5.0435	4.5854	46.9796	43.0604	6.4156	5.8618
12.5%	2.0599	2.4357	5.2625	4.6744	48.7289	43.8519	6.6451	5.9692
15%	1.9811	2.4219	5.4731	4.7633	50.3428	44.6272	6.8607	6.0763
17.5%	1.8613	2.4119	5.7768	4.8561	52.5666	45.4209	7.1891	6.1881
20%	1.7622	2.4043	6.0689	4.9490	54.5967	46.2009	7.4985	6.2998
22.5%	1.7460	2.8489	6.1867	4.5094	55.3872	42.3725	7.5869	5.7565
25%	1.7351	3.4376	6.2991	4.0602	56.1282	38.0548	7.6675	5.1977
27.5%	1.6907	3.6371	6.4977	4.0013	57.4054	37.4530	7.8484	5.1460
30%	1.6554	3.8547	6.6874	3.9401	58.5897	36.8188	8.0160	5.0908

Table 3. Transport properties of aqueous solution of DMSO and ACN at 298.15K.

Conc.	$J_v \times 10^4 \text{ ms}^{-1}$		$L_p \times 10^7 \text{ m}^3 \text{ N}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$		$F_{wm} \times 10^{-9} \text{ mNmol}^{-1} \text{ s}$	
	DMSO	ACN	DMSO	ACN	DMSO	ACN
10%	18.0621	19.5627	7.2248	7.8251	2.6204	2.4194
12.5%	17.5514	19.2926	7.0206	7.7170	2.6966	2.4532
15%	16.9723	19.0732	6.7889	7.6293	2.7886	2.4814
17.5%	16.3951	17.6345	6.5580	7.0538	2.8868	2.6839
20%	15.8532	16.1251	6.3413	6.4500	2.9855	2.9351
22.5%	15.7145	14.1623	6.2858	5.6649	3.0118	3.3419
25%	15.5332	12.2714	6.2133	4.9086	3.0469	3.8568
27.5%	15.3226	11.7921	6.1290	4.7168	3.0889	4.0137
30%	15.1527	11.2519	5.0611	4.5008	3.1235	4.2063

Conclusion

From Table 1 it was observed that viscosity of aqueous solutions of DMSO increases with rise in concentration which indicates the existence of strong interaction between solute and solvent. This is also supported by ultrasonic velocity and other acoustical parameters. However for ACN there is an increase in viscosity and ultrasonic velocity up to 20% (mole fraction 0.09), there after it decreases. This suggests formation of clathrate-like hydrates with water¹² which resulted in decrease in solute-solvent interactions.

The molecules of liquid are not closely packed and as such there is always some free space between them. This free space is known as free volume. It is observed that free volume for aqueous solution of DMSO decreases with rise in concentration as shown in Table 2 which shows that solute solvent molecules are coming close to each other and the space between them is decreasing with rise in concentration. This supports to the strong solute-solvent interaction in DMSO solution. However for aqueous solution of ACN a decrease in free volume was observed up to 20%, there after it increases which again supports the formation of clathrate-like hydrates of ACN with water. Formation of clathrate-like hydrates by ACN with water is also supported by other acoustical parameters such as relaxation time, Gibb's free energy and absorption coefficient. From Table 3 it was also observed that hydrodynamic permeability and permeability coefficient decreases with increase in concentration for both the experimental solvent solutions. These results are in accordance with the fact that permeability is inversely proportional to viscosity¹³. Also it is observed that values of hydrodynamic permeability and permeability coefficient for aqueous solution of ACN were greater than aqueous solution of DMSO up to 20%, thereafter trend was reversed. This is again due to formation of clathrate-like hydrates of ACN with water. As the pore size of the membrane was small, formation of large sized hydrates by ACN resulted in decrease in permeability of the membrane beyond 20% concentration of ACN as compared to DMSO. Values of frictional coefficient also support the same result. Hence, both thermoacoustical parameters and transport properties support the formation of clathrate-like hydrates by ACN with water.

References

1. Priya C S, Nithya S, Velraj G and Kanappan A N, *Int J Adv Sci Tech.*, 2010, **18**, 59.
2. Blokhra R L and Awasthi A, *Indian J Pure Appl Phys.*, 1992, **30(12)**, 760-763.
3. Blokhra R L and Nag A, *Indian J Pure Appl Phys.*, 1991, **29**, 756.
4. Thornton A W, Nairn K M, Hill J A and Hill J M, *J Membr Sci.*, 2009, **338(1-2)**, 29-37; DOI:10.1016/j.memsci.2009.03.053

5. Thornton A W, Nairn K M, Hill A J, Hill J M and Huang Y, *J Membr Sci.*, 2009, **338**(1-2), 38-42; DOI:10.1016/j.memsci.2009.03.057
6. Mondal S, Hu J L and Yong Z, *J Membr Sci.*, 2006, **280**(1-2), 427-432; DOI:10.1016/j.memsci.2006.01.047
7. Gedde W U, Larson P T, Edsberg L, Angelstok A and Hedenqvist M, *Polymer*, 1996, **37**(14), 2887-2902; DOI:10.1016/0032-3861(96)89384-0
8. Wijmans J G, *J Membr Sci.*, 2004, **237**(1-2), 39-50 ; DOI:10.1016/j.memsci.2004.02.028
9. Iulian O and Ciocirlan O, *Rev Roum Chim.*, 2010, **55**, 45-53.
10. Sharma M, Sharma A K and Lal R, *Res J Chem Environ.*, 2010, **14**(3), 75.
11. Ginzberg B Z and Katchalsky A, *J Gen Physiol.*, 1963, **47**(2), 403-418.
12. Jerie K, Baranowski A, Koziol S, Glinski J and Burakowski A, *Chem Phys.*, 2005, **309**(2-3), 277-282; DOI:10.1016/j.chemphys.2004.09.015
13. Kesting R E, Subcasky W J and Patton J D, *J Colloid Interface Sci.*, 1968, **28**(1), 156-160; DOI:10.1016/0021-9797(68)90217-8