

Conventional Synthesis, *In Vitro* Antimicrobial Activity and Calculation of Pharmacokinetic Properties of Thioether Derivatives of Quinoxaline

RICHA SAHU* and S. P. SHRIVASTAVA

Department of Chemistry, Synthetic Organic Chemistry Laboratory, Dr. Hari Singh Gour(Central) University, Sagar(M.P)- 470003, India
richasahu776@gmail.com

Received 13 December 2015 / Accepted 11 January 2016

Abstract: Different thioether derivatives of quinoxaline moiety have been synthesized by conventional method. All the prepared compounds were analyzed by IR and NMR spectral analysis followed by antimicrobial screening using disk diffusion (Kirby Baur) method against pathogenic bacteria and fungi. Ciprofloxacin and fluconazole were used as a standard drug for the study. Among them compound **3i** shows better activity in comparison with standard.

Keywords: Quinoxaline, Antimicrobial activity, Pharmacokinetic property

Introduction

Heterocyclic compounds symbolize imperative set of biologically active dynamic molecules¹. Among the diverse classes of nitrogen having heterocyclic compounds, quinoxaline derivatives are the essential components of numerous pharmacologically active compounds²⁻⁷. Quinoxaline exhibit a wide variety of biological activity⁸ which has made them advantage structures in combinatorial drug discovery libraries⁹ quinoxaline and its derivatives are very important part of numerous bioactive molecules which locate function as antimicrobial¹⁰, anticancerous¹¹, antidepressant^{12,13}, antitubercular¹⁴, anticonvulsant¹⁵, analgesic¹⁶, anti-inflammatory¹⁷, anti-platelet¹⁸, anti-viral¹⁹, anti-tumoral²⁰ and anti-malaria²¹ activities.

Quinoxaline ring is a part of diverse antibiotics, for instance Hinomycin, Levomycin and actinoleutin^{22,23}. The quinoxaline is exemplified as a bioesters of quinoline, naphthalene, benzothiophene and other aromatic ring for example pyridine and pyrazine. It is one of the most significant modules of known antagonists of amino propanoic acid (AMPA). The quinoxaline moiety is too present in peptide antibiotics. Quinoxaline derivatives are double nitrogen containing heterocyclic compounds which have biological consequence.

Scheme 1. Synthetic route for the preparation of compounds

Table 1. Pharmacokinetic parameters important for good oral bioavailability of title compounds (**3a-3l**)

Compound	R	%ABS	Volume	TPSA	NROTB	HBA	HBD	LogP	MW	Lipinski's Violation
Rule		-	-	-	-	<10	<5	≤5	<500	≤1
3a	2-NO ₂	63.838	301.827	130.905	5	10	1	2.662	381.377	0
3b	3-NO ₂	63.838	301.827	130.905	5	10	1	2.686	381.377	0
3c	3-Cl	79.648	292.029	85.081	4	7	1	3.405	370.825	0
3d	4-Cl	79.648	292.029	85.081	4	7	1	3.429	370.825	0
3e	2-CH ₃	79.648	295.054	85.081	4	7	1	3.152	350.407	0
3f	4-CH ₃	79.648	295.054	85.081	4	7	1	3.2	350.407	0
3g	2-OCH ₃	76.462	304.039	94.315	5	8	1	2.76	366.406	0
3h	4-OCH ₃	76.462	366.406	94.315	5	8	1	2.808	366.406	0
3i	2-Cl	79.647	292.03	85.08	4	7	1	3.38	370.82	0
3j	3-Cl,4-F	79.647	296.96	85.08	4	7	1	3.52	388.81	0
3k	2,3-CH ₃	79.648	305.56	85.08	4	7	1	4.01	405.27	0
3l	4-Br	79.648	296.379	85.081	4	7	1	3.56	415.276	0

%ABS: Percentage of absorption; TPSA: Topological polar surface area; NROTB: Number of rotatable bonds; MW: Molecular weight; LogP: Logarithm of compound partition coefficient between *n*-octanol and water; HBA: Number of hydrogen bond donors; HBD: Number of hydrogen bond acceptors

Synthesis of *N*-(Substituted phenyl)-2-(tetrazolo [1,5-]quinoxaline-4-ylthio)acetamide-**3(i-l)**

Equimolar amount of sodium tetrazolo[1,5-*a*]quinoxaline-4-thiolate(0.01) and various 2-chloro-*N*-(Substituted phenyl)-acetamides (0.01) were added in DMF and heated under reflux for 8-10 h. The purity of the compounds was checked by TLC with toluene: acetone 8:2 as mobile phase. The mixture was kept at room temperature and relocated into ice chilled water. The solid was separated out by filtration, rinsed with water and dried out, further purified through recrystallization from ethanol.

N-(2-Chlorophenyl)-2-(tetrazolo[1,5-]quinoxaline-4-ylthio)acetamide (**3i**)

Elemental Analysis % found C 50.39, H 2.91, N 25.71 Calc C 50.30, H 2.85, N 25.65 FT-IR(KBr), in cm⁻¹ 3220(N-H), 3040(C-H), 2880(-CH₂-), 1660(C=O), 1630(C=N), 1420 and 1250(-C-S-CH₂), 1050(C-Cl) aryl chloride and 680(-CH₂-S). ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 400 MHz, ppm) 2.39(s, 3H, CH₃), 4.165(s, 2H, CH₂), 7.118-8.034(m, 8H, Ar-H) and 8.495(s, 1H, N-H).

N-(3-Chloro-4-fluorophenyl)-2-(tetrazolo[1,5-*a*]quinoxaline-4-ylthio)acetamide (**3j**)

Elemental analysis % found C 50.39, H 2.91, N 25.71 Cal C 50.32, H 2.81, N 25.61, FT-IR(KBr) in cm⁻¹ 3250(N-H), 3040(C-H), 2890(-CH₂-), 1650(C=O), 1595(C=N), 1340 and 1250(-C-S-CH₂), 1040(C-Cl) aryl chloride and 660(CH₂-S). ¹H NMR(DMSO-d₆, 400 MHz ppm) 2.637(s, 3H, CH₃), 4.370(s, 2H, CH₂), 7.068-8.022(m, 8H, Ar-H) and 9.648(s, 1H, N-H).

N-(2,3-Dimethylphenyl)-2-(tetrazolo[1,5-*a*]quinoxaline-4-ylthio)acetamide (**3k**)

Elemental analysis % found C 51.82, H 2.99, N 22.66, Cal C 51.75, H 2.87, N 22.58, FT-IR

(KBr) in cm^{-1} 3240(NH), 3050(C-H), 1600(C=N), 1030(C-Cl), 640($\text{CH}_2\text{-S}$) ^1H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz ppm) 2.745(s,3H, CH_3),4.442(s,2H, CH_2),9.166(s,1H,N-H).

N-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-(tetrazolo[1,5-*a*]quinoxaline-4-ylthio)acetamide (**3l**)

Elemental analysis % found C 51.82,H 2.99,N 22.66,Cal C 51.70,H 2.85,N 22.54,FT-IR(KBr) in cm^{-1} , 3260(N-H), 3040(C-H), 2880($\text{-CH}_2\text{-}$), 1660(C=O), 1600 (C=N), 1420 and 1250 (-C-S-(C-H)), 2880($\text{-CH}_2\text{-}$), 1660(C=O)1600(C=N), 1420 and 1250 (-C-S-CH_2), 1050(C-Cl aryl chloride) and 680($\text{CH}_2\text{-S}$). ^1H NMR (DMSO- d_6 ,400 MHz ppm) 2.839 (s,3H, CH_3) 4.065(s,2H, CH_2),7.218-8.024(m,8H,Ar-H)and 8.495(s,1H,N-H).

Antimicrobial activity

The purified derivatives were screened for their antimicrobial activity by means of disc diffusion (Kirby Bauer) technique on some bacteria and fungus such as *Bacillus subtilis*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Staphylococcus aureus* and antifungal activity against, *Aspergillus niger*, *Aspergillus flavus*, *Trichoderma viride* & *Candida albicans* by standard method by calculating zone of inhibition. Firstly we obtained dilution for their dissolving solvent, thus 10 mg purified sample dissolve into 10 mL methanol. 5 Dilutions were prepared to the 5 samples. The each dilution contains concentration of samples is 1 mg/mL for the antimicrobial study. Kirby Bauer filter paper disk method is used for antimicrobial study. In this test, a number of small, sterile filter paper disks of uniform size (6 mm) that have each been impregnated with a defined concentration of an antimicrobial agent are placed on the surface of an agar plate previously inoculated with a standard amount of the organism to be tested.

Table 2. Antimicrobial screening of the title compounds (**3a-3l**)

Compound Code	Concentrations in $\mu\text{g/mL}$	Antibacterial				Antifungal			
		<i>B. Subtilis</i>	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	<i>S. aureus</i>	<i>A. niger</i>	<i>A. flavus</i>	<i>T. viride</i>	<i>C. albicans</i>
3i	1	22	20	19	18	21.7	23.5	22	21
	0.5	17	13	11	14	12.6	17.6	14	-
	0.25	13	12	11	12	11.5	13	12	12.9
	0.125	8	7	6	7.2	7.5	10.5	11	6.9
	0.0625	0	1	2	2.1	2	2	2.1	-
3j	1	20.6	19.5	17.9	16.8	22.6	15	14.9	17.4
	0.5	16.8	14.8	-	17	16.4	11	13	11
	0.25	13.7	-	12.8	-	-	10	11	12.8
	0.125	7.9	9.8	-	10.7	9.8	8.9	-	6.8
	0.0625	1.9	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.3	-	1.1	-
3k	1	16	17	16.9	12	18.9	14	14.6	17.1
	0.5	15	13	16.7	-	15.4	-	11.9	12
	0.25	13	15	12.4	10.4	13.7	9.3	10.8	10
	0.125	7.5	-	6.8	5.5	8.8	7.7	-	6.6
	0.0625	1.2	1	1.2	1.5	-	-	1.1	-
3l	1	18.6	18.9	16.8	17.8	19.1	15	21.6	16.7
	0.5	16.3	12.6	15.5	16.9	15.9	13.7	14	12.8
	0.25	12.5	6.3	-	8.5	13.8	5.6	8.7	11.9
	0.125	7.2	9	8.6	10.5	7.6	4.5	-	6.4
	0.0625	1.2	-	1.1	1.4	1.3	-	1.1	-

Conclusion

In the present investigation *N*-(Substituted phenyl)-2-(tetrazolo[1,5-*a*]quinoxaline-4-ylthio) acetamide **3(i-l)** have been synthesized and characterized by spectral analysis. They all were screened preliminary antimicrobial activity. The electronic factors exerted by the substituted and the hydrophobic nature of phenyl nucleus in the title compounds influenced the activity. In this paper Lipinski's rule of five were calculated. This rule is widely used as a filter for drug like properties. So, none of the compounds violated Lipinski's parameter making them potentially promising agents for antimicrobial therapy.

Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge thanks to, Sophisticated Instrument facility Division(SAIF), for providing elemental analysis and NMR spectral data. Authors also admit their thankfulness to Head Department of Chemistry Dr.H.S.Gour University, Sagar for providing I.R. other necessary facilities and also thankful to scan laboratory Bhopal for providing antimicrobial data.

References

1. Ratnadeep V Ghadage and Pramod J Shirote, *Int J Experimental Pharmacology*, 2012, **2**(1), 44-49.
2. Tandon V K, Yadav D B, Maurya H K, Chaturvedi A K and Shukla P K, *Bioorg Med Chem.*, 2006 , **14**(17), 6120-6126; DOI:10.1016/j.bmc.2006.04.029
3. Sarges R, Howard H R, Browne R G, Label L A, B. Kenneth Koe and Seymour P A, *J Med Chem.*, 1990, **33**(8), 2240-2254; DOI:10.1021/jm00170a031
4. Sakata G, Makino K, and Kurasawa Y, *Heterocycles*, 1988, **27**(10), 2481-2515; DOI:10.3987/REV-88-397
5. Arthur G, Elor K B, Robert G S, Guo Z Z, Richard J P, Stanley D R, John K, Sean T J, *et al.*, *J Med Chem.*, 2005, **48**(3), 744-752; DOI:10.1021/jm0492958
6. George D M, Larry D B, John M K, Timothy P B and Braulio S, *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.*, 1997, **7**, 2819
7. Szekelyhidi Z, Pato J, Waczek F, Banhegyi, Hegymegi-Barakonyi B, Eros D, Meszaros G, Hollosy F, Hafenbradl D, Obert S, Klebl B, Keri G and Orfi L, *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.*, 2005, **15**(13), 3241-3246; DOI:10.1016/j.bmcl.2005.04.064
8. Seitz L E, Suling W J, and Reynolds R C, *J Med Chem.*, 2002, **45**(25), 5604-5606; DOI:10.1021/jm020310n
9. Zaragoza F and Stephenson H, *J Org Chem.*, 1999, **64**(7), 2555-2557; DOI:10.1021/jo982070i (b) Wu Z and Ede N J, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2001, **42**(45), 8115-8118; DOI:10.1016/S0040-4039(01)01733-6
10. Sakata G, Makino K and Kurasawa Y, *Heterocycles*, 1988, **27**, 2481-2515; DOI:10.3987/REV-88-397 (References cited therein).
11. Ziegler F E, Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, *Combining C-C π Bonds*, Vol. V, Paquette L A, Ed., Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1991.
12. Ali M M, Ismail M M F, El-Gabby M S A, Zahran M A and Ammar T A, *Molecules*, 2000 , **5**(6), 864-873; DOI:10.3390/50600864
13. Sarges R, Howard R C, Label L A and Seymore P A, *J Med Chem.*, 1990, **33**(8), 2240-2254; DOI:10.1021/jm00170a031
14. Mohammad R I and Zahra H, *ARKIVOC*, 2008, 280-287.
15. Rajurkar R M, Agrawal V A, Thonte S S and Ingale R G, *Pharmacophore*, 2010, **1**(2), 65-76.

16. Santos T, Salas C R, Colorado P R, Pena H A, Sanchez R A and Flores P A, *ARKIVOC* (v), 2008, 187-199.
17. Wagle S, Adhikari A V and Kumari N S, *Indian J Chem.*, 2008, **47B**, 439.
18. Ajani O, Craig A, Obafemi O and David A Akinpelu, *Bioorg Med Chem.*, 2010, **18(1)**, 214–221; DOI:[10.1016/j.bmc.2009.10.064](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2009.10.064)
19. Singh D P, Deivedi S K, Hashim S R and Singhal R G, *Pharmaceuticals*, 2010, **3(8)**, 2416-2425; DOI:[10.3390/ph3082416](https://doi.org/10.3390/ph3082416)
20. Kumar A, Verma A and Chawla G and Vaishali, *Int J Chem Tech Res.*, 2009, **1(4)**, 1177-1181.
21. Khan S, Mullick P, Pandit S and Kaushik D, *Pharmaceutical-Drug Research*, 2009, **66(2)**, 169-172.
22. Dell A, William D H, Morris H. R, Smith G. A, Feeney J and Roberts G C K, *J Am Chem Soc.*, 1975, **97(9)**, 2497-2502; DOI:[10.1021/ja00842a029](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00842a029)
23. Bailly C, Echepar S, Gago F and Waring M, *J Anti-Cancer Drug Des.*, 1999, **14**, 291-303.
24. Richa Shahu and Srivastava S P, *Journal of Applicable Chemistry*, 2014, **3(4)**, 1475-1480.