RESEARCH ARTICLE

Green Synthesis and Characterization of Copper Nanoparticles Using Mixture (*Zingiber officinale*, *Piper nigrum* and *Piper longum*) Extract and its Antimicrobial Activity

RAHUL SHAH¹, AMANULLAKHAN PATHAN², HIRAL VAGHELA², SURESH C. AMETA¹ and KOKILA PARMAR²

¹Department of PG, Pacific University, Udaipur-313001 (Rajasthan), India ²Department of Chemistry, Hemchandracharya North Gujarat University, Patan-384265, Gujarat, India

amankhan255@gmail.com

Received 16 March 2018 / Accepted 30 March 2018

Abstract: Synthesis of copper nanoparticles using *zingiber officinale, piper nigrum* and *piper longum* extract has been studied. The synthesized copper nanoparticles were characterized using UV-Visible spectroscopy, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), x-ray diffraction (XRD), field emission gun scanning electron microscopy (FEG-SEM) with EDS and high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM). The antibacterial activity of copper nanoparticles was studied against micro organisms like against *Bacillus subtilis* (MTCC 441), *Staphylococcus aureus* (MTCC 737), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (MTCC 1681) and *Escherichia coli* (MTCC 1687).

Keyword: Green synthesis, Copper nanoparticles, UV-Visible, FTIR, SEM, TEM, XRD

Introduction

Nanotechnology plays a very important role in modern research, in recent years. Nanotechnology has attracted many researchers from various fields like biotechnology, physics, chemistry, material sciences, engineering and medicine. Green nanotechnology attracted many researchers from various field like physics, chemistry, material science, engineering, medicine and bio technology¹. In recent, green synthesis of Cu nanoparticles was achieved by using microorganisms, copper nanoparticles act as antimicrobial agent in various fields. Different plants were used for the synthesis of nanoparticles using green synthesis procedure. Many researchers used green synthesis methods for different metal nanoparticles due to their growing need of eco-friendly properties.

Copper nanoparticles have wide application in medicine². The physical and chemical methods for preparation of copper nanoparticles employ toxic chemicals³. On the other hand

green synthesis⁴⁻⁸ of nanoparticles uses natural plant, fruit, leaf or seed extracts and can be safely used for medicinal applications. Generally metal nanoparticles synthesize by various methods like solution combustion, chemical displacement methods *etc.*,^{9,10}. Biogenic synthesis of silver nanoparticles using *Crateva religiosa* plant was shown good antimicrobial activity against pathogenic bacteria¹¹. Also the green synthesis is cost impressive, environment friendly, fast in giving nanocrystalline materials. In the present work, we have found a cost effective method to synthesis copper nanoparticles using *zingiber officinale, piper nigrum* and *piper longum* extract. Synthesized copper nanoparticles were characterized using UV-Visible spectroscopy, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), field gun emission scanning electron microscopy (FEG-SEM), high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM) and x-ray diffraction (XRD).

Experimental

All the chemical reagents used in this experiment were of analytical grade purchased from ACS chemicals. The *zingiber officinale, piper nigrum* and *piper longum* powder (Figure 1) collected from around Patan (N.G.), Gujarat, India. Initially 10 g of powder boiled with 100 mL double distilled water for 30 min in heating on Soxhlet at temperature 60 °C. The resulting product was filtered and stored in refrigerator for further use.



Figure 1. Mixture of *zingiber officinale*, *piper nigrum* and *piper longum Syntheses of copper nanoparticles using mixture*

All solutions were prepared using double distilled water. Initially 10 g of powder *zingiber* officinale, piper nigrum and piper longum were boiled with double distilled water and heated on Soxhlet for 30 min. then filtered it using Whatman filter paper no. 1. After filtered 20 mL extract was added with 30 mL copper sulphate. Then it is kept on magnetic stirrer with hot plate around 8 h. The resultant solution was turned dark brown color within one hour. The solid products got it. Subsequently the solution was then centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 20 min. The supernatant centrifugation was used as mixture extract. Copper nanoparticles (Figure 2) were synthesized by adding 20 mL of mixture extract to 1×10^{-3} M copper sulphate (ACS extra pure).



Figure 2. Green synthesis of copper nanoparticles using mixture

Characterization of copper nanoparticles

UV-Vis spectra analysis

UV-Vis spectroscopy measurements (Shimadzu UV 1800) were carried out at room temperature in the region 800-200 nm as a function of time of the reaction. The UV-Visible absorption spectrum was used for the analysis of optical properties of green synthesized copper oxide nanoparticles. The mono dispersed copper oxide nanoparticles are shown in synthesis Figure 3. The room temperature spectra exhibited strong excitonic absorption peaks at 225-245 nm for samples respectively, which is in good agreement with previous work¹².



Figure 3. UV-Vis absorption spectrum of copper nanoparticles

FTIR analysis of copper nanoparticles

FTIR spectroscopy analysis was carried out to identify the biomolecules responsible for the reduction of Cu⁺ ions. FTIR spectroscopy analysis was carried out to find the biomolecules that were bound specifically on the copper oxide nanoparticles surface. Figure 4 shows the FTIR spectra of green synthesized copper oxide nanoparticles. The spectrum showed bands at 599.86 cm⁻¹ corresponding to metal oxygen (M–O). Strong bands were observed at 669, 827, 927 and 1354 cm⁻¹ and have been referred to as alcohols and phenolic groups, C–N stretching vibrations of aliphatic and aromatic amines¹³, respectively. The peaks around 1547 cm⁻¹ are due to the amide bonds of enzymes or proteins¹⁴. The bands obtained at 2692 and 2879 cm⁻¹ have been representing to stretching vibrations of primary alkanes and amines.



Figure 4. FTIR spectra of copper nanoparticles

XRD analysis of copper nanoparticles

X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurement of the green synthesis of copper nanoparticles carried out on a Rigaku D/max 40 kV diffractometer equipped with the graphite mono chromator and Cu target. Figure 5 shows the XRD analysis of green synthesized copper oxide nanoparticles. This is used for further confirmation of copper oxide phase of nanoparticles. The observed intense peaks are 28°, 32°, 38°, 44°, 64° and 77° respectively representing the (100), (110), (111), (200), (210) and (220). Bragg's reflection angles based on the crystal of copper nanoparticles. These phases were indexed to spherical shape, which was compared to the data from JCPDS card No. 04-0836. The average crystallite size of the copper nanoparticles was calculated using peak broadening profile by Debye Scherer's formula as follows,

$$D = \frac{0.9\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta} \tag{1}$$

Where, D is average particles size, λ is wavelength (1.5418 Å), θ is the Bragg's angle and β is full width half maximum (FWHM) of corresponding peek. The Scherer's formula was used to estimate the particles sizes and was found to be in the range of 15-30 nm. Gunalan et al.,¹⁵ have been reported that Aloe barbadensis mediated copper oxide nanoparticles were spherical in nature and sizes ranged from 15-30 nm.

FEG-SEM and EDS analysis of copper nanoparticles

Field emission gun scanning electron microscope (FEG-SEM) and EDS images were recorded on a JSM-7600F series instrument. FEG-SEM spectra of green synthesis of copper nanoparticles are shown in Figure 6(a-d). Copper nanoparticles by this method show nearly mono dispersed distribution of particle sizes. The average particle size of the Cu nanoparticles is around 15-30 nm. The composition of copper nanoparticles was further probed by energy-dispersive x-ray (EDS) analysis. Figure 7 shows the EDS pattern of CuNPs prepared using copper sulphate, which indicates the presence of Cu and small amount of oxygen. EDS spectrum of copper nanoparticles shows the peaks for copper and respective elements indicating the formation of copper nanoparticles. Peak indexing of the elements is oxygen 0.5 keV and copper 0.9 and 8.1 keV. The compositions in the mass percentage of the elements are oxygen 45.12% and copper 41.21%. The experimental composition matches with the theoretically calculated composition.



Figure 5. XRD spectra of copper nanoparticles



Figure 7. EDS spectra of copper nanoparticles *HR-TEM analysis of copper nanoparticles*

(a)

High resolution transmission electron microscope (HR-TEM) images were recorded on a Tecnai G2-F30 electron microscope. Figure 8(a-d) shows the HR-TEM images of CuNPs prepared using mixtures. The sample preparation was carried out via the coating on carbon coated grid Cu Mesh 300 prior to the measurement. High resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM) has been employed to characterize the size, shape and morphology of synthesized copper nanoparticles.





Figure 8. HR-TEM images of copper nanoparticles

Antimicrobial test

The antibacterial screening of the synthesized copper nanoparticles performed by both agar well diffusion method and paper strip method against *Bacillus subtilis* (MTCC 441), *Staphylococcus aureus* (MTCC 737), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (MTCC 1681) and *Escherichia coli* (MTCC 1687).

Same process applied for plant extract for comparison, fresh overnight culture of each strain swabbed uniformly by cotton on plates containing sterile Luria Bertani agar and 4 wells (diameter size- 6 mm) were prepared using cup borer. 50 μ L of sample nanoparticles pour into each well and commercial disc of gentamicin was used as positive control. Incubated it for 24 h at 37 °C, after that around the well (well No. 1-3 in Table 1) diameter of inhibition zone was observed in millimeter (Figure 9 and Table 1). Inhibition zone of bacterial growth is due to inhibitory compounds from the tested sample. We concluded that agar well diffusion method exhibited good antibacterial activity in which *Bacillus subtilis* showed excellent zone of inhibition and *Escherichia coli* showed weak results compare to all bacteria. Plant extract didn't give any results. Experiments with each strain performed three times for good results.

Table 1. Results of antimicrobial test			
	Zone of inhibition		
Microorganism	1	2	3
	20, µL	40, µL	60, µL
B. subtilis	2 mm	8 mm	11 mm
S. aureus	1 mm	6 mm	12 mm
P. aeruginosa	1 mm	7 mm	13 mm
E. coli	2 mm	8 mm	12 mm



(a) B.subtilis



Cut Biss



(b) *S.aureus* (c) *P.aeruginosa* **Figure 9.** Antimicrobial activity of copper nanoparticles

Conclusion

The green synthesis of copper nanoparticles performed using mixture (*zingiber officinale*, *piper nigrum and piper longum*) without involving any toxic chemicals. In this reduction reaction metal ions were reduced (Cu^{+2} to Cu^{+1}) very rapidly and reaction was finally completed within 8 h to produce copper nanoparticles. Different plants will take different time to complete the reaction due to have their different properties. The characterization of synthesized copper nanoparticles elucidated by microscopic and spectroscopic techniques which includes FEG-SEM with EDS, FTIR, HR-TEM, XRD and UV-Visible confirms the formation of copper nanoparticles. Synthesized copper nanoparticles showed high stability even after six months at ordinary room temperature. They exhibited an excellent antibacterial activity against mentioned all pathogenic bacteria. So it can concluded that biologically synthesized copper nanoparticles using mixture (*zingiber officinale*, *piper nigrum* and *piper longum*) can be used as environment-friendly with low cost and excellent antibacterial activity.

References

- 1. Vasudev D Kulkarni and Pramod S Kulkarni, Int J Cheml Stud., 2013, 1(3), 1-4.
- 2. Frattini A, Pellegri N, Nicastro D and De Sanctis O, *Mater Chem Phys.*, 2005, 94(1), 148-152.
- Schmid G (in), Schmid G, (Ed)., Nanoparticles From Theory to Application. 2nd Ed., Wiley-VCH; Weinheim, 2010, 214-232.
- 4. Kaler A, Patel N and Banerjee U C, Curr Res Inf Pharm Sci., 2010, 11(4), 68-71.
- 5. Jagajjanani Rao K and Santanu Paria, *Mate Res Bull.*, 2013, **48(2)**, 628-634; DOI:10.1016/j.materresbull.2012.11.035
- 6. Khan M, Khan M, Adil S F, Tahir M N, Tremel W, Alkhathlan H Z, Al- Warthan A and Siddiqui M R, *Int J Nanomed.*, 2013, **8**, 1507-1516; DOI:10.2147/IJN.S43309
- Singh RP, Magesh S and Rakkiyappan C, Int J Bio-Eng Sci Technol, IJBEST., 2011, 2(3), 64-73.
- 8. Elias Saion, Elham Gharibshahi and Kazem Naghavi, *Int J Mol Sci.*, 2013, **14(4)**, 7880-7896; DOI:10.3390/ijms14047880
- 9. Pathan, Amanullakhan A, Kavita R Desai, Shailesh Vajapara and Bhasin C P, *Adv Nanopart.*, 2018, **7(1)**, 28-35; DOI:10.4236/anp.2018.71003
- 10. Tejani Jayadip, Rahul Shah, Hiral Vaghela, Trupti Kukadiya and Amanullakhan A Pathan, *J Nanotechnol Adv Mater.*, 2018, **6(1)**, 1-7.
- 11. Hiral Vaghela, Kokila A. Parmar, Amanullakhan Pathan, Kavita R Desai Jadhav Jayesh and Rahul Shah, *J Appl Chem.*, 2016, **9(8)**, 29-33; DOI:10.9790/5736-0908012933
- Huang J, Li Q, Sun D, Lu Y, Su Y, Yang X, Wang H, Wang Y, Shao W, He N, J. Hong J and Chen C, *Nanotechnology*, 2007, **18(10)**, 105104-105115; DOI:10.1088/0957-4484/18/10/105104
- 13. Shankar S Shiv, Absar Ahmad and Murali Sastry, *Biotechnol Prog.*, 2003, **19(6)**, 1627-1631; DOI:10.1021/bp034070w
- 14. Shah M A, Afr Rev Phys., 2008, 2(1)
- 15. Gunalan Sangeetha, Rajeshwari Sivaraj and Rajendran Venckatesh, *Spectrochim Acta Part A: Mol Biomol Spectros.*, 2012, **97**, 1140-1144; DOI:10.1016/j.saa.2012.07.096