RESEARCH ARTICLE

New Spectrophotometric Methods for the Quantitative Analysis of Fluorometholone in Ophthalmic Suspensions

A.NARENDRA^{1*}, D.DEEPIKA² and M.MATHRUSRI ANNAPURNA³

¹Micro Advanced Research Centre, Bangalore, Karnataka, India ²VijayaInstitue of Pharmaceutical Sciences for women, Vijayawada, A.P, India ³GITAM Institute of Pharmacy, GITAM University, Visakhapatnam, A.P., India *naren_angirekula@yahoo.com*

Received 17 December 2012 / Revised 27 January 2013 / Accepted 17 February 2013

Abstract: Two simple, rapid and sensitive spectrophotometric methods were developed for the determination of Fluorometholone in pharmaceutical formulations in methanol (Method A) and Octane sulfonic acid buffer (pH 3.0) (Method B). Beer's law was obeyed over the concentration range 0.1-80 μ g/mL in both methods. The linear regression equations were found to be y = 0.040x + 0.005 and y = 0.040x - 0.013 in Method A and B respectively. The % RSD was found to be 0.76 and 0.97 in Method A as well as 0.58 and 0.49 in Method B for intra-day and inter-day precision studies. The % RSD in accuracy studies was also found to be less than 2.0. The proposed methods are simple and suitable for the determination of Fluorometholone in pharmaceutical formulations. No interferences were observed from the excipients in the formulations. The methods were validated according to ICH guidelines.

Keywords: Fluorometholone, Eye drops, Validation

Introduction

Fluorometholone is a corticosteroid, most often used after laser-based refractive surgery. Fluorometholone, chemically 9α -fluoro-11b,17 α -dihydroxy- 6α -methylpregna-1, 4-diene-3,20dione is a corticosteroid employed for its glucocorticoid activity¹. The drug is formulated as eye drops, in the treatment of allergic and inflammatory conditions of the eye. It has also been used topically in the treatment of various skin disorders²⁻³. However, corticosteroids are thought to act by the induction of phospholipase A2 inhibitory proteins, collectively called lipocortins. It is postulated⁴ that these proteins control the biosynthesis of potent mediators of inflammation such as prostaglandins and leukotrienes by inhibiting the release of their common precursor, arachidonic acid. Arachidonic acid is released from membrane phospholipids by phospholipase A2. Their primary target is the cytosolic glucocorticoid receptor. After binding the receptor the newly formed receptor-ligand complex translocates itself into the cell nucleus, where it binds to many glucocorticoid⁵ response elements in the promoter region of the target genes. The DNA bound receptor then interacts with basic transcription factors, causing the increase in expression of specific target genes. A survey of the literature revealed that the methods reported for the determination of fluorometholone include spectrophotometric techniques⁶⁻⁷, LC-MS⁸⁻¹⁰ and TLC-spectrodensitometric¹¹ methods. The authors have developed two simple validated spectrophotometric methods for the determination of Fluorometholone in bulk and pharmaceutical formulations as per ICH guidelines¹².



Figure 1. Chemical structure of fluorometholone

Experimental

A double beam UV-Vis spectrophotometer (UV-1800, Shimadzu, Japan) connected to computer loaded with spectra manager software UV Probe was employed with spectral bandwidth of 1nm and wavelength accuracy of ± 0.3 nm with a pair of 10 mm matched quartz cells. All weights were taken on electronic balance (Denver, Germany). For scanning, the wavelength range selected was from 400 nm to 200 nm with medium scanning speed. All experiments were performed at room temperature (25 ± 1) °C.

Reagents and chemicals

Fluorometholone standard (purity \geq 98.0%) was obtained from Eisai Pharmaceuticals (India). Fluorometholone is available commercially with brand names FLAREX and FLUMETHOLON (containing 0.1% of the drug content) respectively and were procured from the local market.

Preparation of stock and sample solution

The standard solution of fluorometholone was prepared by dissolving accurately about 25 mg of the Fluorometholone with methanol in a 25 mL volumetric flask.

Preparation of 0.02M Octane1- sulfonic acid buffer (pH 3.0)

4.35 g of Octane 1- sulfonic acid was dissolved in 1000 mL of water and pH was adjusted to 3.0 with *o*-phosphoric acid. The stock solution was further diluted with methanol and Octane sulfonic acid buffer (pH 3.0) for method A and method B (0.1-80 μg/mL) to obtain required sample solutions.

Procedure

Method A

The drug solution was scanned (200-400 nm) against reagent blank (methanol) and the absorption spectrum (Figure 2) was recorded. The absorption maximum (λ_{max}) was observed at 240 nm. A series of solutions (0.1-80 µg/mL) were prepared and the absorbance of these solutions was recorded at that λ_{max} .

A graph was plotted by taking the concentration of the solutions on the x-axis and the corresponding absorbance values on the y-axis (Figure 3).

Method B

The drug solution was scanned (200-400 nm) against reagent blank (Octane 1-sulfonic acid buffer pH 3.0) and the absorption spectrum was recorded (Figure 4). A series of solutions (0.1-80 μ g/mL) were prepared and the absorbance of these solutions was recorded at that λ_{max} .



Figure 2.Absorption spectrum of fluorometholone (10 µg/mL) (Method A)



Figure 4. Absorption spectrum of fluorometholone (10 μ g/mL) (Method B) A graph was plotted by taking the concentration of the solutions on the x-axis and the corresponding absorbance values on the y-axis (Figure 5).



Figure 5.Calibration curve of fluorometholone (Method B)

Assay of commercial formulations

Fluorometholone is available in the local market with brand names FLAREX(0.1%) and flumetholon(0.1%) were purchased. Five containers were collected each marketed brand, and equivalent to 5.0g of the drug was weighed, extracted with methanol separately, sonicated and make up to volume in two different 50 mL volumetric flasks (20 μ g/mL) and filtered. Further dilutions were made from this stock solution with methanol and Octane 1-sulfonic acid buffer for method A and B and analyzed according to the recommended procedure.

Precision and accuracy

The precision study was done by recording the absorbance of six replicates (20 μ g/mL) for method A and B and the % RSD was calculated. Accuracy was evaluated by the recovery studies by the addition of 80%, 100% and 120% of pure drug solution to the pre-analysed formulation solution. For the present study FML drug solution extracted from the formulation was taken and 80%, 100% and 120% of pure drug solution (*i.e.* 8, 10 and 12 μ g/mL) were added to the 10 μ g/mL and the % RSD was calculated.

Results and Discussion

The optical characteristics of the proposed methods were shown in Table 1. Beer's law was obeyed in the concentration range of $0.1-80 \ \mu g/mL$ and $0.1-80 \ \mu g/mL$ for the methods A and B respectively. The linear regression equations were found to be Y = 0.040x + 0.005 and Y = 0.040x - 0.013 for method A and B respectively with correlation coefficient 0.9998 and 0.9996 respectively for both methods.

The % RSD values for precision and accuracy studies of both the methods were found to be (RSD <2%) indicating that the methods are more precise and accurate. The percentage recovery was found to be 99.65-99.12 and 99.59-100.40 for method A and B respectively (Table 2). The proposed methods can be applied successfully for the determination of Fluorometholone in pharmaceutical formulations.

Parameters	Method A	Method B	
Beer-Lambert's range, µg/mL	0.1-80	0.1-80	
λ max/ wave length range, nm	240	240	
Molar absorptivity, L/mol.cm	1.5209×10^4	1.3590×10^4	
Sandell's sensitivity ($\mu g \text{ cm}^{-2}/0.001 \text{ Abs}$)	0.024752	0.02770	
Regression equation Y			
Slope	0.040	0.013	
Intercept	0.005	0.013	
Correlation coefficient	0.9998	0.9996	

Table 1. Optical characteristics of fluorometholone

Brand	Labelled Amount, - %	Amount Obtained, mg		% Recovery		% RSD	
		Method		Method		Method	
		А	В	А	В	А	В
FLAREX	0.1	0.0996	0.0995	99.65	99.59	0.46	0.58
FLUMETHOLON	0.1	0.0991	0.100	99.12	100.95	0.68	0.79

Table 2. Analysis of fluorometholone commercial formulation

Conclusion

The present methods can be employed for the determination of fluorometholone in pharmaceutical formulations successfully and there is no interference of excipients during the study.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful of M/S Pharmatrain Laboratories, India for providing research facilities and also to Eisai Pharmaceuticals (India) for providing the gift samples of the drug.

References

- 1. The Merck Index, An Encyclopedia Of Chemical, Drug's and Biologicals, Maryadele J O Neil.Eds,14th Edition, Published by Merck Research Lab, Division of Merck and Co. Inc., Whitehouse Station, NJ: 2006., 4175, 714.
- 2. Sweetman S C, Martindale: The Complete Drug Reference, Pharmaceutical Press, London, 2005.
- 3. Samudre S S, Lattanzio F A Jr, Williams P B and Sheppard J D Jr, *J Ocul Pharmacol Ther.*, 2004, **20(6)**, 533-547.
- 4. Grossman R, Yehuda R, Golier J, McEwen B, Harvey P and Maria N S, *Ann N Y Acad Sci.*, 2006, **1071**, 410-421.
- 5. Hammer F and Stewart P M, Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab., 2006, 20(3), 337-353.
- 6. Altuntas T G, Korkmaz F and Nebioglu D, *Pharmazie.*, 2000, **55**(1), 49-52.
- 7. Vladimirov S, Cudina O, Agbaba D and Zivanov-Stakic D, Anal Lett., 1996, **29(6)**, 921-927.
- 8. Korpi-Steiner N L, Netzel B C, Seegmiller J C, Hagan J B and Singh R J, *Steroids*, 2010, **75(1)**, 77-82; DOI:10.1016/j.steroids.2009.10.009.
- 9. Croesa K, Goeyensa L, Baeyens W, Loco J V and Impens S, *J Chromatogr B*, 2009, **877(7)**, 635-644; DOI:10.1016/j.jchromb.2009.01.028.
- 10. Keeffe M J O, Martin S and Regan Y, *Anal Chim Acta*, 2003, **483(1-2)**, 341-350; DOI:10.1016/S0003-2670(03)00059-X.
- 11. Sarah S Saleha, Hayam M Lotfy, Nagiba Y Hassan, Samia M Elgizawy, *Saudi Pharma J.*, 2013, 21(4), 411-421; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsps.2012.11.001, Available online 17 November 2012.
- 12. International Conference on Harmonization (ICH), Q2B: Validation of Analytical Procedures: Methodology, 62, US FDA, Federal Register, 2005.